

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
ROSS COUNTY, OHIO
CIVIL DIVISION

2022 JUN 9 PM 3:40

STATE OF OHIO *ex rel.* DAVE YOST,
Ohio Attorney General,

Case No.: CV-17 CI 000261

Plaintiff,

JUDGE MATTHEW SCHMIDT

v.

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., *et al.*,

Defendants.

FINAL CONSENT JUDGMENT AND DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

The State of Ohio (“*State*”) and Johnson & Johnson, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ortho-McNeil-Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc. (collectively, “*Janssen*” or “*Defendants*”) (together with the State, the “*Parties*,” and each a “*Party*”) have entered into a consensual resolution of the above-captioned litigation (the “*Action*”) pursuant to a settlement agreement entitled Janssen Settlement Agreement, dated as of July 21, 2021 (as subsequently updated) (the “*Agreement*”), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Agreement shall become effective by its terms upon the entry of this Final Consent Judgment (the “*Judgment*”) by the Court without trial or adjudication of any contested issue of fact or law, and without finding or admission of wrongdoing or liability of any kind.

RECITALS:

Each Party warrants and represents that it engaged in arm’s-length negotiations in good faith. In hereby executing the Agreement, the Parties intend to effect a good-faith settlement. The State has determined that the Agreement is in the public interest.

Janssen denies the allegations against it and that it has any liability whatsoever to the State, its Subdivisions, and/or (a) any of the State's or Subdivisions' departments, agencies, divisions, boards, commissions, districts, instrumentalities of any kind and attorneys, including its Attorney General and any person in his or her official capacity whether elected or appointed to serve any of the foregoing and any agency, person, or other entity claiming by or through any of the foregoing, (b) any public entities, public instrumentalities, public educational institutions, unincorporated districts, fire districts, irrigation districts, and other Special Districts, and (c) any person or entity acting in a *parens patriae*, sovereign, quasi-sovereign, private attorney general, *qui tam*, taxpayer, or other capacity seeking relief on behalf of or generally applicable to the general public.

The Parties recognize that the outcome of the Action is uncertain and a final resolution through the adversarial process likely will require protracted litigation.

The Parties agree to the entry of the injunctive relief terms pursuant to Exhibit P of the Agreement.

Therefore, without any admission of liability or wrongdoing by Janssen or any other Released Entities (as defined in the Agreement), the Parties now mutually consent to the entry of this Judgment and agree to dismissal of the claims with prejudice pursuant to the terms of the Agreement to avoid the delay, expense, inconvenience, and uncertainty of protracted litigation.

**NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED
THAT:**

In consideration of the mutual promises, terms, and conditions set forth in the Agreement, the adequacy of which is hereby acknowledged by all Parties, it is agreed by and between

Defendants and the State, and adjudicated by the Court, as follows: The foregoing Recitals are incorporated herein and constitute an express term of this Judgment.

- The Parties have entered into a full and final settlement of all Released Claims of Releasers against Janssen (including but not limited to the State) and the Released Entities pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement.
- The “Definitions” set forth in Section I of the Agreement are incorporated by reference into this Judgment. The State is a “Settling State” within the meaning of the Agreement. Unless otherwise defined herein, capitalized terms in this Judgment shall have the same meaning given to them in the Agreement.
- The Parties agree that the Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over the Parties with respect to the Action and this Judgment. This Judgment shall not be construed or used as a waiver of any jurisdictional defense Janssen or any other Released Entity may raise in any other proceeding.
- The Court finds that the Agreement was entered into in good faith.
- The Court finds that entry of this Judgment is in the public interest and reflects a negotiated settlement agreed to by the Parties. The Action is dismissed with prejudice, subject to a retention of jurisdiction by the Court as provided herein and in the Agreement.
- By this Judgment, the Agreement is hereby approved by the Court, and the Court hereby adopts the Agreement’s terms as its own determination of this matter and the Parties’ respective rights and obligations.
- The Court shall have authority to resolve disputes identified in Section XII.F.2 of the Agreement, governed by the rules and procedures of the Court.

By this Judgment, the OneOhio Memorandum of, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit C and as incorporated into the Agreement pursuant to Exhibit O of the Agreement, is hereby approved by the Court as the means by which relevant funds paid pursuant to the Agreement will be divided within the State, subject to the full acceptance by any Subdivision receiving such funds of the terms of the Agreement, including the releases provided therein. The Parties have satisfied the Condition to Effectiveness of Agreement set forth in Section VIII of the Agreement and the Release set forth in Sections IV.A, D, and E of the Agreement, as follows:

- The Attorney General of the State exercised the fullest extent of his or her powers to release Janssen and all other Released Entities from all Released Claims pursuant to the release attached hereto as Exhibit B (the “*Release*”).
- Janssen has determined that there is sufficient State participation and sufficient resolution of the Claims of the Litigating Subdivisions in the Settling States to proceed with the Agreement.
- The Settlement Participation Form for each Initial Participating Subdivision in the State has been delivered to Janssen. As stated in the Settlement Participation Form, and for the avoidance of doubt, nothing in the Settlement Participation Form executed by the Participating Subdivisions is intended to modify in any way the terms of the Agreement to which the Participating Subdivisions agree. As stated in the Settlement Participation Form, to the extent the executed version of the Settlement Participation Form differs from the Agreement in any respect, the Agreement controls.

- Pursuant to the Settlement Participation Form, each Participating Subdivision in the State is dismissing with prejudice any Released Claims that it has filed against Janssen and the Released Entities.

Release. The Parties acknowledge that the Release, which is incorporated by reference herein, is an integral part of this Judgment. Pursuant to the Agreement and the Release and without limitation and to the maximum extent of the power of the State's Attorney General, Janssen and the other Released Entities are, as of the Effective Date, hereby released from any and all Released Claims of (a) the State and its Participating Subdivisions and any of their departments, agencies, divisions, boards, commissions, Subdivisions, districts, instrumentalities of any kind and attorneys, including the State's Attorney General, and any person in his or her official capacity whether elected or appointed to serve any of the foregoing, and any agency, person, or other entity claiming by or through any of the foregoing, (b) any public entities, public instrumentalities, public educational institutions, unincorporated districts, fire districts, irrigation districts, water districts, law enforcement districts, emergency services districts, school districts, hospital districts and other Special Districts in the State, and (c) any person or entity acting in a *parens patriae*, sovereign, quasi-sovereign, private attorney general, *qui tam*, taxpayer, or other capacity seeking relief on behalf of or generally applicable to the general public with respect to the State or any Subdivision in the State, whether or not any of them participate in the Agreement. Pursuant to the Agreement and the Release and to the maximum extent of the State's power, Janssen and the other Released Entities are, as of the Effective Date, hereby released from any and all Released Claims of (1) the State, (2) all past and present executive departments, state agencies, divisions, boards, commissions and instrumentalities with the regulatory authority to enforce state and federal controlled substances acts, (3) any of the State's

past and present executive departments, agencies, divisions, boards, commissions and instrumentalities that have the authority to bring Claims related to Covered Conduct seeking money (including abatement and/or remediation) or revocation of a pharmaceutical distribution license, and (4) any Participating Subdivision. For the purposes of clause (3) above, executive departments, agencies, divisions, boards, commissions, and instrumentalities are those that are under the executive authority or direct control of the State's Governor. Further, the provisions set forth in Section IV of the Agreement are incorporated by reference into this Judgment as if fully set forth herein. The Parties acknowledge, and the Court finds, that those provisions are an integral part of the Agreement and this Judgment, and shall govern the rights and obligations of all participants in the settlement. Any modification of those rights and obligations may be made based only on a writing signed by all affected parties and approved by the Court.

Release of Unknown Claims. The State expressly waives, releases, and forever discharges any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States or other jurisdiction, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which reads:

General Release; extent. A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release that, if known by him or her, would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.

The State may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those which it knows, believes, or assumes to be true with respect to the Released Claims, but the State expressly waived and fully, finally, and forever settled, released and discharged, through the Agreement and Release, any and all Released Claims that may exist as of the Effective Date but which the

State does not know or suspect to exist, whether through ignorance, oversight, error, negligence or through no fault whatsoever, and which, if known, would have materially affected the State's decision to enter into the Agreement.

Costs and Fees. The Parties will bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise provided in the Agreement.

No Admission of Liability. Defendants are consenting to this Judgment solely for the purpose of effectuating the Agreement, and nothing contained herein may be taken as or construed to be an admission or concession of any violation of law, rule, or regulation, or of any other matter of fact or law, or of any liability or wrongdoing, all of which Defendants expressly deny. No Defendant or Released Entity admits that it caused or contributed to any public nuisance, and no Defendant or Released Entity admits any wrongdoing that was or could have been alleged by the State, its Participating Subdivisions and/or Participating Special Districts, or any other person or entity. No part of this Judgment shall constitute evidence of any liability, fault, or wrongdoing by Defendants or any other Released Entity. The Parties acknowledge that payments made under the Agreement are not a fine, penalty, or payment in lieu thereof and are properly characterized as described in Section VI.F of the Agreement.

No Waiver. This Judgment is entered based on the Agreement without trial or adjudication of any contested issue of fact or law or finding of liability of any kind. This Judgment shall not be construed or used as a waiver of Janssen's right, or any other Released Entity's right, to defend itself from, or make any arguments in, any other regulatory, governmental, private individual, or class claims or suits relating to the subject matter or terms of this Judgment. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the State may enforce the terms of this Judgment as expressly provided in the Agreement.

No Private Right of Action. This Judgment is not intended for use by any third party for any purpose, including submission to any court for any purpose, except pursuant to Section XII.A of the Agreement. Except as expressly provided in the Agreement, no portion of the Agreement or this Judgment shall provide any rights to, or be enforceable by, any person or entity that is not a Settling State or Released Entity. The State shall allow Participating Subdivisions in the State to notify it of any perceived violations of the Agreement or this Judgment. No Settling State, including the State, may assign or otherwise convey any right to enforce any provision of the Agreement.

Admissibility. It is the intent of the Parties that this Judgment not be admissible in other cases against Defendants or binding on Defendants in any respect other than in connection with the enforcement of this Judgment or the Agreement. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing herein shall prohibit Defendants from entering this Judgment or the Agreement into evidence in any litigation or arbitration concerning (1) Defendants' right to coverage under an insurance contract or (2) the enforcement of the releases provided for by the Agreement and this Judgment.

Preservation of Privilege. Nothing contained in the Agreement or this Judgment, and no act required to be performed pursuant to the Agreement or this Judgment, is intended to constitute, cause, or effect any waiver (in whole or in part) of any attorney-client privilege, work product protection, or common interest/joint defense privilege, and each Party agrees that it shall not make or cause to be made in any forum any assertion to the contrary.

Mutual Interpretation. The Parties agree and stipulate that the Agreement was negotiated on an arm's-length basis between parties of equal bargaining power and was drafted jointly by counsel for each Party. Accordingly, the Agreement is incorporated herein by reference and

shall be mutually interpreted and not construed in favor of or against any Party, except as expressly provided for in the Agreement.

Retention of Jurisdiction. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of the Parties for the limited purpose of the resolution of disputes identified in Section XII.F.2 of the Agreement. The Court shall have jurisdiction over Participating Subdivisions in the State for the limited purposes identified in the Agreement.

Successors and Assigns. This Judgment is binding on Defendants' successors and assigns.

Modification. This Judgment shall not be modified (by the Court, by any other court, or by any other means) without the consent of the State and Defendants, or as provided for in Section XIII.S of the Agreement.

SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.



MATTHEW S. SCHMIDT, Judge

6/7/2022

Date

APPROVED AND AGREED TO:

For the State of Ohio

/s/ Mark H. Troutman
STATE OF OHIO
DAVE YOST, ATTORNEY GENERAL
Mark H. Troutman
Shawn Judge
GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP
505 14th Street, Suite 1110
Oakland, California 94612
mht@classlawgroup.com
skj@classlawgroup.com

Special Counsel for the State of Ohio.

/s/ Jonathan Blanton
Jonathan Blanton (0070035)
Deputy Attorney General for Major Litigation
Office of Ohio Attorney General Dave Yost
Rhodes State Office Tower
30 East Broad Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
614-728-1171 direct
Jonathan.Blanton@OhioAGO.gov

Counsel for the State of Ohio

Steve W. Berman*
Emilee Sisco
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO
LLP
1301 2nd Ave., Suite 2000
Seattle, WA 98101
steve@hbsslw.com
emilees@hbsslw.com

Jennifer Fountain Connolly
Michael von Klemperer
Baron & Budd, P.C.
600 New Hampshire Avenue, NW
10th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20037
jconnolly@baronbudd.com
mvonklemperer@baronbudd.com

Ben Harrington
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO
LLP
715 Hearst Ave., Suite 202
Berkeley, CA 94710
benh@hbsslw.com

Mike Moore*
Jonathan Compretta
MIKE MOORE LAW FIRM, LLC
P.O. Box 321048
Flowood, MS 39232
mm@mikemoorelawfirm.com
jc@mikemoorelawfirm.com

James L. Ward, Jr.
McGOWAN, HOOD & FELDER, LLC
321 Wingo Way, Ste. 103
Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464
jward@mcgowanhood.com

John L. Davidson
DAVIDSON BOWIE PLLC
2506 Lakeland Dr., Ste. 501
P.O. Box. 321405
Fleetwood, MS 39232
j davidson@dbslawfirm.net

Joel Grant Woods
GRANT WOODS, P.C.
650 N. 3rd Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85003
gw@grantwoodspc.net

Of Counsel for the State of Ohio

For the Janssen Defendants:

Date: 5/9/2022

By: /s/ Marc Larkins
Marc Larkins
Assistant Corporate Secretary, Johnson & Johnson

Approved as to form:

Date: 5/9/2022

By: /s/ Charles Lifland
Charles C. Lifland
O'Melveny & Myers
Counsel for Defendants

For the OneOhio Negotiating Committee:

/s/ Russell Budd per authority May 8, 2022 (J. Blanton)

Russell Budd
BARON & BUDD, P.C.
3102 Oak Lawn Ave #1100
Dallas, TX 75219
rbudd@baronbudd.com

/s/ Frank L. Gallucci per authority May 11, 2022 (J. Blanton)

Frank L. Gallucci
PLEVIN & GALLUCCI
55 Public Square #2222
Cleveland, OH 44113
FGallucci@pglawyer.com

/s/ Joseph Rice per authority May 8, 2022 (J. Blanton)

Joseph Rice
MOTLEY RICE LLC
28 Bridgeside Blvd.
Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464
jrice@motleyrice.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was served by e-mail, pursuant to Civ.R. 5(B)(2)(f), on May 11, 2022, upon the following:

Nathaniel Lampley, Jr.
Jeffrey A. Miller
Jacob D. Mahle
VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR AND PEASE
301 East Fourth Street, Suite 3500
Great American Tower
Cincinnati, OH 45202
nlampley@vorys.com
jamiller@vorys.com
jdmahle@vorys.com

Sheila Birnbaum
Mark S. Cheffo
Hayden A. Coleman
DECHERT LLP
Three Bryant Park, 1095 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10010
sheila.birnbaum@dechert.com
mark.cheffo@dechert.com
hayden.coleman@dechert.com

***Attorneys for Defendants Purdue Pharma, Inc.,
Purdue Pharma LP and The Purdue Frederick
Company, Inc.***

Carole S. Rendon
Ruth E. Hartman
Tera N. Coleman
BAKER & HOSTETLER
127 Public Square, Suite 2000
Cleveland, OH 44114
crendon@bakerlaw.com
rhartman@bakerlaw.com
tcoleman@bakerlaw.com

Ingo W. Sprie, Jr.
ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
250 West 55th Street
New York, NY 10019-9710
Ingo.Sprie@apks.com

Brian M. Ercole
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
200 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 5300
Miami, FL 33131-2339
brian.ercole@morganlewis.com

Wendy West Feinstein
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
One Oxford Centre, Thirty-Second Floor
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
wendy.feinstein@morganlewis.com

Steven A. Reed
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1701 Market St.
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921
steven.reed@morganlewis.com

Albert J. Lucas
Jason J. Blake
CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP
1200 Huntington Center
41 South High Street
Columbus, OH 43215
alucas@calfee.com
jblake@calfee.com

Georgia Yanchar
CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP
The Calfee Building
1405 East Sixth Street
Cleveland, OH 44114
gyanchar@calfee.com

***Attorneys for Defendants Cephalon, Inc.,
Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries, Ltd.,
Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Watson
Laboratories, Inc., Actavis LLC, and
Actavis Pharma, Inc. f/k/a Watson
Pharma, Inc.***

Jessica Miller*
Nina Rose *
Geoffrey Wyatt
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP
1440 New York Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 371-7000
Facsimile: (202) 661-8301
jessica.miller@skadden.com
nrose@skadden.com
Geoffrey.Wyatt@skadden.com

***Attorneys for Defendants Endo Health
Solutions Inc. and Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc.***

Tariq M. Naeem
Justin E. Rice
TUCKER ELLIS LLP
950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100
Cleveland, OH 44113
Tariq.naeem@tuckerellis.com
Justin.rice@tuckerellis.com

Charles C. Lifland
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
400 S. Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071
clifland@omm.com
Stephen D. Brody
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
1625 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
sbrody@omm.com

***Attorneys for Johnson & Johnson, Janssen
Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ortho-McNeil- Janssen
Pharmaceuticals, Inc. n/k/a Janssen
Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Janssen
Pharmaceutica, Inc. n/k/a Janssen
Pharmaceuticals, Inc.***

John R. Mitchell
THOMPSON HINE LLP
3900 Key Center
127 Public Square
Cleveland, OH 44114
John.Mitchell@thompsonhine.com

Donna Welch
Martin L. Roth
Timothy Knapp
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
300 North LaSalle
Chicago, IL 60654
donna.welch@kirkland.com
martin.roth@kirkland.com
timothy.knapp@kirkland.com
Jennifer G. Levy
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
655 Fifteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
jennifer.levy@kirkland.com

***Attorneys for Defendants Allergan
Finance LLC f/k/a Actavis, Inc. f/k/a
Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and
Allergan plc***

/s/Mark H. Troutman

By: Mark H. Troutman (0076390), Trial Attorney