

AMENDED MINNESOTA OPIOIDS STATE-SUBDIVISION MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, the State of Minnesota, Minnesota counties and cities, and their people have been harmed by misconduct committed by certain entities that engage in or have engaged in the manufacture, marketing, promotion, distribution, or dispensing of an opioid analgesic;

WHEREAS, certain Minnesota counties and cities, through their counsel, and the State, through its Attorney General, are separately engaged in ongoing investigations, litigation, and settlement discussions seeking to hold opioid manufacturers and distributors accountable for the damage caused by their misconduct;

WHEREAS, the State and Local Governments share a common desire to abate and alleviate the impacts of the misconduct described above throughout Minnesota;

WHEREAS, while the State and Local Governments recognize the sums which may be available from the aforementioned litigation will likely be insufficient to fully abate the public health crisis caused by the opioid epidemic, they share a common interest in dedicating the most resources possible to the abatement effort;

WHEREAS, the investigations and litigation with several companies have resulted in National Settlement Agreements with those companies, which the State has already committed to join;

WHEREAS, Minnesota's share of settlement funds from the National Settlement Agreements will be maximized only if all Minnesota counties, and cities of a certain size, participate in the settlements;

WHEREAS, the National Settlement Agreements will set a default allocation between each state and its political subdivisions unless they enter into a state-specific agreement regarding the distribution and use of settlement amounts;

WHEREAS, this Amended Memorandum of Agreement is intended to facilitate compliance by the State and by the Local Governments with the terms of the National Settlement Agreements and is intended to serve as a State-Subdivision Agreement under the National Settlement Agreements;

WHEREAS, this Amended Memorandum of Agreement is also intended to serve as a State-Subdivision Agreement under resolutions of claims concerning alleged misconduct in the manufacture, marketing, promotion, distribution, or dispensing of an opioid analgesic entered in bankruptcy court that provide for payments (including payments through a trust) to both the State and Minnesota counties and cities and allow for the allocation between a state and its political subdivisions to be set through a state-specific agreement; and

WHEREAS, specifically, this Amended Memorandum of Agreement is intended to serve under the Bankruptcy Resolutions concerning Purdue Pharma, Mallinckrodt, and Endo as a qualifying Statewide Abatement Agreement.

I. Definitions

As used in this MOA (including the preamble above):

“Approved Uses” shall mean forward-looking strategies, programming, and services to abate the opioid epidemic that fall within the list of uses on **Exhibit A**. Consistent with the terms of the National Settlement Agreements and Bankruptcy Resolutions, “Approved Uses” shall include the reasonable administrative expenses associated with overseeing and administering Opioid Settlement Funds. Reimbursement by the State or Local Governments for past expenses are not Approved Uses.

“Backstop Fund” is defined in Section VI.B below.

“Bankruptcy Defendants” mean any Opioid Supply Chain Participants that have filed for federal bankruptcy protection, including, but not limited to, Purdue Pharma L.P., Mallinckrodt plc, and Endo International plc.

“Bankruptcy Resolution(s)” means resolutions of claims concerning alleged misconduct in manufacture, marketing, promotion, distribution, or dispensing of an opioid analgesic by the Bankruptcy Defendants entered in bankruptcy court that provide for payments (including payments through a trust) to both the State and Minnesota counties and municipalities and allow for the allocation between the state and its political subdivisions to be set through a state-specific agreement.

“Counsel” is defined in Section VI.B below.

“County Area” shall mean a county in the State of Minnesota plus the Local Governments, or portion of any Local Government, within that county.

“Governing Body” means (1) for a county, the county commissioners of the county, and (2) for a municipality, the elected city council or the equivalent legislative body for the municipality.

“Legislative Modification” is defined in Section II.C below.

“Litigating Local Governments” mean a Local Government that filed an opioid lawsuit(s) on or before December 3, 2021, as defined in Section VI.B below.

“Local Abatement Funds” are defined in Section II.B below.

“Local Government” means all Minnesota political subdivisions within the geographic boundaries of the state of Minnesota.

“MDL Matter” means the matter captioned *In re National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, MDL 2804, pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio.

“Memorandum of Agreement” or “MOA” means this agreement, the Amended Minnesota Opioids State-Subdivision Memorandum of Agreement.

“National Settlement Agreements” means a national opioid settlement agreement with the Parties and one or more Opioid Supply Chain Participants concerning alleged misconduct in manufacture, marketing, promotion, distribution, or dispensing of an opioid analgesic, which includes structural or payment provisions requiring or anticipating the participation of both the State and its political subdivisions in the national opioid settlement agreement and allows for the allocation of Opioid Settlement Funds between the State and its political subdivisions to be set through a state-specific agreement.

“Opioid Settlement Funds” shall mean all funds allocated by the National Settlement Agreements and any Bankruptcy Resolutions to the State and Local Governments for purposes of opioid remediation activities or restitution, as well as any repayment of those funds and any interest or investment earnings that may accrue as those funds are temporarily held before being expended on opioid remediation strategies.

“Opioid Supply Chain Participants” means entities that engage in, have engaged in, or have provided consultation services regarding the manufacture, marketing, promotion, distribution, or dispensing of an opioid analgesic, including, but not limited to, Janssen, AmerisourceBergen, Cardinal Health, McKesson, Teva Pharmaceuticals, Allergan plc, CVS Health Corporation, Walgreens Boots Alliance, Inc., and Walmart Inc. “Opioid Supply Chain Participants” also means all subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, directors, employees, or agents of such entities.

“Parties” means the State and the Participating Local Governments.

“Participating Local Government” means a political subdivision within the geographic boundaries of the State of Minnesota that has signed this Memorandum of Agreement and has executed a release of claims by signing on to the National Settlement Agreements. For the avoidance of doubt, a Local Government must sign this MOA to become a “Participating Local Government.”

“Region” is defined in Section II.H below.

“State” means the State of Minnesota by and through its Attorney General, Keith Ellison.

“State Abatement Fund” is defined in Section II.B below.

II. Allocation of Settlement Proceeds

- A. Method of distribution. Pursuant to the National Settlement Agreements and any Bankruptcy Resolutions, Opioid Settlement Funds shall be distributed directly to the State and directly to Participating Local Governments in such proportions and for such uses as set forth in this MOA, provided Opioid Settlement Funds shall not be considered funds of

the State or any Participating Local Government unless and until such time as each distribution is made.

B. Overall allocation of funds. Opioid Settlement Funds will be initially allocated as follows: (i) 25% directly to the State (“State Abatement Fund”), and (ii) 75% directly to abatement funds established by Participating Local Governments (“Local Abatement Funds”). This initial allocation is subject to modification by Sections II.F, II.G, and II.H, below.

C. Statutory change.

1. The Parties agree to work together in good faith to propose and lobby for legislation in the 2022 Minnesota legislative session to modify the distribution of the State’s Opiate Epidemic Response Fund under Minnesota Statutes section 256.043, subd. 3(d), so that “50 percent of the remaining amount” is no longer appropriated to county social services, as related to Opioid Settlement Funds that are ultimately placed into the Minnesota Opiate Epidemic Response Fund (“Legislative Modification”).¹ Such efforts include, but are not limited to, providing testimony and letters in support of the Legislative Modification.
2. It is the intent of the Parties that the Legislative Modification would affect only the county share under section 256.043, subd. 3(d), and would not impact the provision of funds to tribal social service agencies. Further, it is the intent of the Parties that the Legislative Modification would relate only to disposition of Opioid Settlement Funds and is not predicated on a change to the distribution of the Board of Pharmacy fee revenue that is deposited into the Opiate Epidemic Response Fund.

D. Bill Drafting Workgroup. The Parties will work together to convene a Bill Drafting Workgroup to recommend draft legislation to achieve this Legislative Modification. The Workgroup will meet as often as practicable in December 2021 and January 2022 until recommended language is completed. Invitations to participate in the group shall be extended to the League of Minnesota Cities, the Association of Minnesota Counties, the Coalition of Greater Minnesota Cities, state agencies, the Governor’s Office, the Attorney General’s Office, the Opioid Epidemic Response Advisory Council, the Revisor’s Office, and Minnesota tribal representatives. The Workgroup will host meetings with Members of the Minnesota House of Representatives and Minnesota Senate who have been involved in this matter to assist in crafting a bill draft.

E. No payments until August 1, 2022. The Parties agree to take all steps necessary to ensure that any Opioid Settlement Funds ready for distribution directly to the State and Participating Local Governments under the National Settlement Agreements or Bankruptcy Resolutions are not actually distributed to the Parties until on or after August 1, 2022, in order to allow the Parties to pursue legislative change that would take effect

¹ It is the intent of the Parties that counties will continue to fund child protection services for children and families who are affected by addiction, in compliance with the Approved Uses in **Exhibit A.**

before the Opioid Settlement Funds are received by the Parties. Such steps may include, but are not limited to, the Attorney General's Office delaying its filing of Consent Judgments in Minnesota state court memorializing the National Settlement Agreements. This provision will cease to apply upon the effective date of the Legislative Modification described above, if that date is prior to August 1, 2022.

- F. Effect of no statutory change by August 1, 2022. If the Legislative Modification described above does not take effect by August 1, 2022, the allocation between the Parties set forth in Section II.B shall be modified as follows: (i) 40% directly to the State Abatement Fund, and (ii) 60% to Local Abatement Funds. The Parties further agree to discuss potential amendment of this MOA if such legislation does not timely go into effect in accordance with this paragraph.
- G. Effect of later statutory change. If the Legislative Modification described above takes effect after August 1, 2022, the allocation between the Parties will be modified as follows: (i) 25% directly to the State Abatement Fund, and (ii) 75% to Local Abatement Funds.
- H. Effect of partial statutory change. If any legislative action otherwise modifies or diminishes the direct allocation of Opioid Settlement Funds to Participating Local Governments so that as a result the Participating Local Governments would receive less than 75 percent of the Opioid Settlement Funds (inclusive of amounts received by counties per statutory appropriation through the Minnesota Opiate Epidemic Response Fund), then the allocation set forth in Section II.B will be modified to ensure Participating Local Governments receive 75% of the Opioid Settlement Funds.
- I. Participating Local Governments receiving payments. The proportions set forth in **Exhibit B** provide for payments directly to: (i) all Minnesota counties; and (ii) all Minnesota cities that (a) have a population of more than 30,000, based on the United States Census Bureau's Vintage 2019 population totals, (b) have funded or otherwise managed an established health care or treatment infrastructure (e.g., health department or similar agency), or (c) have initiated litigation against AmerisourceBergen, Cardinal Health, McKesson, or Janssen as of December 3, 2021.
- J. Allocation of funds between Participating Local Governments. The Local Abatement Funds shall be allocated to Participating Local Governments in such proportions as set forth in **Exhibit B**, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, which is based upon the MDL Matter's Opioid Negotiation Class Model.² The proportions shall not change based on population changes during the term of the MOA. However, to the extent required by the terms of the National Settlement Agreements, the proportions set forth in **Exhibit B** must be adjusted: (i) to provide no payment from the National Settlement Agreements to any listed county or municipality that does not participate in the National

² More specifically, the proportions in Exhibit B were created based on Exhibit G to the National Settlement Agreements, which in turn was based on the MDL Matter's allocation criteria. Cities under 30,000 in population that had shares under the Exhibit G default allocation were removed and their shares were proportionally reallocated amongst the remaining subdivisions.

Settlement Agreements; and (ii) to provide a reduced payment from the National Settlement Agreements to any listed county or city that signs on to the National Settlement Agreements after the Initial Participation Date.

- K. Redistribution in certain situations. In the event a Participating Local Government merges, dissolves, or ceases to exist, the allocation percentage for that Participating Local Government shall be redistributed equitably based on the composition of the successor Local Government. In the event an allocation to a Local Government cannot be paid to the Local Government, such unpaid allocations will be allocated to Local Abatement Funds and be distributed in such proportions as set forth in Exhibit B.
- L. City may direct payments to county. Any city allocated a share may elect to have its full share or a portion of its full share of current or future annual distributions of settlement funds instead directed to the county or counties in which it is located, so long as that county or counties are Participating Local Governments[s]. If a city is located in more than one county, the city's funds will be directed based on the MDL Matter's Opioid Negotiation Class Model.

III. Special Revenue Fund

- A. Creation of special revenue fund. Every Participating Local Government receiving Opioid Settlement Funds through direct distribution shall create a separate special revenue fund, as described below, that is designated for the receipt and expenditure of Opioid Settlement Funds.
- B. Procedures for special revenue fund. Funds in this special revenue fund shall not be commingled with any other money or funds of the Participating Local Government. The funds in the special revenue fund shall not be used for any loans or pledge of assets, unless the loan or pledge is for an Approved Use. Participating Local Governments may not assign to another entity their rights to receive payments of Opioid Settlement Funds or their responsibilities for funding decisions, except as provided in Section II.L.
- C. Process for drawing from special revenue funds.
 - 1. Opioid Settlement Funds can be used for a purpose when the Governing Body includes in its budget or passes a separate resolution authorizing the expenditure of a stated amount of Opioid Settlement Funds for that purpose or those purposes during a specified period of time.
 - 2. The budget or resolution must (i) indicate that it is an authorization for expenditures of opioid settlement funds; (ii) state the specific strategy or strategies the county or city intends to fund, using the item letter and/or number in **Exhibit A** to identify each funded strategy, if applicable; and (iii) state the amount dedicated to each strategy for a stated period of time.

- D. Local government grantmaking. Participating Local Governments may make contracts with or grants to a nonprofit, charity, or other entity with Opioid Settlement Funds.
- E. Interest earned on special revenue fund. The funds in the special revenue fund may be invested, consistent with the investment limitations for local governments, and may be placed in an interest-bearing bank account. Any interest earned on the special revenue funds must be used in a way that is consistent with this MOA.

IV. Opioid Remediation Activities

- A. Limitation on use of funds. This MOA requires that Opioid Settlement Funds be utilized only for future opioid remediation activities, and Parties shall expend Opioid Settlement Funds only for Approved Uses and for expenditures incurred after the effective date of this MOA, unless execution of the National Settlement Agreements requires a later date. Opioid Settlement Funds cannot be used to pay litigation costs, expenses, or attorney fees arising from the enforcement of legal claims related to the opioid epidemic, except for the portion of Opioid Settlement Funds that comprise the Backstop Fund described in Section VI. For the avoidance of doubt, counsel for Litigating Local Governments may recover litigation costs, expenses, or attorney fees from the common benefit, contingency fee, and cost funds established in the National Settlement Agreements, as well as the Backstop Fund described in Section VI.
- B. Public health departments as Chief Strategists. For Participating Local Governments that have public health departments, the public health departments shall serve as the lead agency and Chief Strategist to identify, collaborate, and respond to local issues as Local Governments decide how to leverage and disburse Opioid Settlement Funds. In their role as Chief Strategist, public health departments will convene multi-sector meetings and lead efforts that build upon local efforts like Community Health Assessments and Community Health Improvement Plans, while fostering community focused and collaborative evidence-informed approaches that prevent and address addiction across the areas of public health, human services, and public safety. Chief Strategists should consult with municipalities located within their county in the development of any Community Health Assessment, and are encouraged to collaborate with law enforcement agencies in the county where appropriate.
- C. Administrative expenses. Reasonable administrative costs for the State or Local Government to administer its allocation of the Opioid Settlement Funds shall not exceed actual costs, 10% of the relevant allocation of the Opioid Settlement Funds, or any administrative expense limitation imposed by the National Settlement Agreements or Bankruptcy Resolution, whichever is less.
- D. Regions. Two or more Participating Local Governments may at their discretion form a new group or utilize an existing group (“Region”) to pool their respective shares of settlement funds and make joint spending decisions. Participating Local Governments may

choose to create a Region or utilize an existing Region under a joint exercise of powers under Minn. Stat. § 471.59.

E. Consultation and partnerships.

1. Each county receiving Opioid Settlement Funds must consult annually with the municipalities in the county regarding future use of the settlement funds in the county, including by holding an annual meeting with all municipalities in the county in order to receive input as to proposed uses of the Opioid Settlement Funds and to encourage collaboration between Local Governments both within and beyond the county. These meetings shall be open to the public.
2. Participating Local Governments within the same County Area have a duty to regularly consult with each other to coordinate spending priorities.
3. Participating Local Governments can form partnerships at the local level whereby Participating Local Governments dedicate a portion of their Opioid Settlement Funds to support city- or community-based work with local stakeholders and partners within the Approved Uses.

F. Collaboration. The State and Participating Local Governments must collaborate to promote effective use of Opioid Settlement Funds, including through the sharing of expertise, training, and technical assistance. They will also coordinate with trusted partners, including community stakeholders, to collect and share information about successful regional and other high-impact strategies and opioid treatment programs.

V. Reporting and Compliance

A. Construction of reporting and compliance provisions. Reporting and compliance requirements will be developed and mutually agreed upon by the Parties, utilizing the recommendations provided by the Advisory Panel to the Attorney General on Distribution and Allocation of Opioid Settlement Funds.

B. Reporting Workgroup. The Parties will work together to establish a Reporting Workgroup that includes representatives of the Attorney General's Office, state stakeholders, and city and county representatives, who will meet on a regular basis to develop reporting and compliance recommendations. The Reporting Workgroup must produce a set of reporting and compliance measures by June 1, 2022. Such reporting and compliance measures will be effective once approved by representatives of the Attorney General's Office, the Governor's Office, the Association of Minnesota Counties, and the League of Minnesota Cities that are on the Workgroup.

C. Application of Reporting Addendum and State Law. The requirements of the Reporting and Compliance Addendum agreed to by the Minnesota Governor's Office, the Minnesota Attorney General's Office, the Association of Minnesota Counties, the League of Minnesota Cities, and members of the Minnesota Opioid Epidemic Response Advisory

Council, as well as the requirements of Minnesota Statutes section 256.042, subdivision 5(d), apply to Local Governments receiving Opioid Settlement Funds under National Settlement Agreements and Bankruptcy Resolutions within the scope of this MOA.

VI. Backstop Fund

- A. National Attorney Fee Fund. When the National Settlement Agreements provide for the payment of all or a portion of the attorney fees and costs owed by Litigating Local Governments to private attorneys specifically retained to file suit in the opioid litigation (“National Attorney Fee Fund”), the Parties acknowledge that the National Settlement Agreements may provide for a portion of the attorney fees of Litigating Local Governments.
- B. Backstop Fund and Waiver of Contingency Fee. The Parties agree that the Participating Local Governments will create a supplemental attorney fees fund (the “Backstop Fund”) to be used to compensate private attorneys (“Counsel”) for Local Governments that filed opioid lawsuits on or before December 3, 2021 (“Litigating Local Governments”). By order³ dated August 6, 2021, Judge Polster capped all applicable contingent fee agreements at 15%. Judge Polster’s 15% cap does not limit fees from the National Attorney Fee Fund or from any state backstop fund for attorney fees, but private attorneys for local governments must waive their contingent fee agreements to receive payment from the National Attorney Fee Fund. Judge Polster recognized that a state backstop fund can be designed to incentivize private attorneys to waive their right to enforce contingent fee agreements and instead apply to the National Attorney Fee Fund, with the goals of achieving greater subdivision participation and higher ultimate payouts to both states and local governments. Accordingly, in order to seek payment from the Backstop Fund, Counsel must agree to waive their contingency fee agreements relating to these National Settlement Agreements and first apply to the National Attorney Fee Fund.
- C. Backstop Fund Source. The Backstop Fund will be funded by seven percent (7%) of the share of each payment made to the Local Abatement Funds from the National Settlement Agreements (annual or otherwise), based upon the initial allocation of 25% directly to the State Abatement Fund and 75% directly to Local Abatement Funds, and will not include payments resulting from the Purdue, Mallinckrodt, or Endo Bankruptcies. In the event that the initial allocation is modified pursuant to Section II.F. above, then the Backstop Fund will be funded by 8.75% of the share of each payment made to the Local Abatement Funds from the National Settlement Agreements (annual or otherwise), based upon the modified allocation of 40% directly to the State Abatement Fund and 60% directly to the Local Abatement Funds, and will not include payments resulting from the Purdue, Mallinckrodt, or Endo Bankruptcies. In the event that the allocation is modified pursuant to Section II.G. or Section II.H. above, back to an allocation of 25% directly to the State Abatement Fund and 75% directly to Local Abatement Funds, then the Backstop Fund will be funded by 7% of the share of each payment made to the Local Abatement Funds from the National

³ Order, In re: Nat’l Prescription Opiate Litig., Case No. 17-MD-02804, Doc. No. 3814 (N.D. Ohio August 6, 2021).

Settlement Agreements (annual or otherwise), and will not include payments resulting from the Purdue, Mallinckrodt, or Endo Bankruptcies.

- D. Backstop Fund Payment Cap. Any attorney fees paid from the Backstop Fund, together with any compensation received from the National Settlement Agreements' Contingency Fee Fund, shall not exceed 15% of the total gross recovery of the Litigating Local Governments' share of funds from the National Settlement Agreements. To avoid doubt, in no instance will Counsel receive more than 15% of the amount paid to their respective Litigating Local Government client(s) when taking into account what private attorneys receive from both the Backstop Fund and any fees received from the National Settlement Agreements' Contingency Fee Fund.
- E. Requirements to Seek Payment from Backstop Fund. A private attorney may seek payment from the Backstop Fund in the event that funds received by Counsel from the National Settlement Agreements' Contingency Fee Fund are insufficient to cover the amount that would be due to Counsel under any contingency fee agreement with a Litigating Local Government based on any recovery Litigating Local Governments receive from the National Settlement Agreements. Before seeking any payment from the Backstop Fund, private attorneys must certify that they first sought fees from the National Settlement Agreements' Contingency Fee Fund, and must certify that they agreed to accept the maximum fees payments awarded to them. Nothing in this Section, or in the terms of this Agreement, shall be construed as a waiver of fees, contractual or otherwise, with respect to fees that may be recovered under a contingency fee agreement or otherwise from other past or future settlements, verdicts, or recoveries related to the opioid litigation.
- F. Special Master. A special master will administer the Backstop Fund, including overseeing any distribution, evaluating the requests of Counsel for payment, and determining the appropriate amount of any payment from the Backstop Fund. The special master will be selected jointly by the Minnesota Attorney General and the Hennepin County Attorney, and will be one of the following individuals: Hon. Jeffrey Keyes, Hon. David Lillehaug; or Hon. Jack Van de North. The special master will be compensated from the Backstop Fund. In the event that a successor special master is needed, the Minnesota Attorney General and the Hennepin County Attorney will jointly select the successor special master from the above-listed individuals. If none of the above-listed individuals is available to serve as the successor special master, then the Minnesota Attorney General and the Hennepin County Attorney will jointly select a successor special master from a list of individuals that is agreed upon between the Minnesota Attorney General, the Hennepin County Attorney, and Counsel.
- G. Special Master Determinations. The special master will determine the amount and timing of any payment to Counsel from the Backstop Fund. The special master shall make one determination regarding payment of attorney fees to Counsel, which will apply through the term of the recovery from the National Settlement Agreements. In making such determinations, the special master shall consider the amounts that have been or will be received by the private attorney's firm from the National Settlement Agreements' Contingency Fee Fund relating to Litigating Local Governments; the contingency fee contracts; the dollar amount of recovery for Counsel's respective clients who are Litigating

Local Governments; the Backstop Fund Payment Cap above; the complexity of the legal issues involved in the opioid litigation; work done to directly benefit the Local Governments within the State of Minnesota; and the principles set forth in the Minnesota Rules of Professional Conduct, including the reasonable and contingency fee principles of Rule 1.5. In the interest of transparency, Counsel shall provide information in their initial fee application about the total amount of fees that Counsel have received or will receive from the National Attorney Fee Fund related to the Litigating Local Governments.

- H. Special Master Proceedings. Counsel seeking payment from the Backstop Fund may also provide written submissions to the special master, which may include declarations from counsel, summaries relating to the factors described above, and/or attestation regarding total payments awarded or anticipated from the National Settlement Agreements' Contingency Fee Fund. Private attorneys shall not be required to disclose work product, proprietary or confidential information, including but not limited to detailed billing or lodestar records. To the extent that counsel rely upon written submissions to support their application to the special master, the special master will incorporate said submission or summary into the record. Any proceedings before the special master and documents filed with the special master shall be public, and the special master's determinations regarding any payment from the Backstop Funds shall be transparent, public, final, and not appealable.
- I. Distribution of Any Excess Funds. To the extent the special master determines that the Backstop Fund exceeds the amount necessary for payment to Counsel, the special master shall distribute any excess amount to Participating Local Governments according to the percentages set forth in **Exhibit B**.
- J. Term. The Backstop Fund will be administered for (a) the length of the National Litigation Settlement Agreements' payments; or (b) until all Counsel for Litigating Local Governments have either (i) received payments equal to the Backstop Fund Payment Cap above or (ii) received the full amount determined by the special master; whichever occurs first.
- K. No State Funds Toward Attorney Fees. For the avoidance of doubt, no portion of the State Abatement Fund will be used to fund the Backstop Fund or in any other way to fund any Litigating Local Government's attorney fees and expenses. Any funds that the State receives from the National Settlement Agreements as attorney fees and costs or in lieu of attorney fees and costs, including the Additional Restitution Amounts, will be treated as State Abatement Funds.

VII. General Terms

A. Scope of agreement.

1. This MOA applies to the National Settlement Agreements and the Bankruptcy Resolutions.⁴
2. This MOA will also apply to future National Settlement Agreements and Bankruptcy Resolutions with Opioid Supply Chain Participants that include structural or payment provisions requiring or anticipating the participation of both the State and its political subdivisions, and allows for the allocation between the State and its political subdivisions to be set through a state-specific agreement.
3. The Parties acknowledge that this MOA does not excuse any requirements placed upon them by the terms of the National Settlement Agreements or any Bankruptcy Resolution, except to the extent those terms allow for a State-Subdivision Agreement to do so.

B. When MOA takes effect.

1. This MOA shall become effective at the time a sufficient number of Local Governments have joined the MOA to qualify this MOA as a State-Subdivision Agreement under the National Settlement Agreements or as a Statewide Abatement Agreement under any Bankruptcy Resolution. If this MOA does not thereby qualify as a State-Subdivision Agreement or Statewide Abatement Agreement, this MOA will have no effect.
2. The Parties may conditionally agree to sign on to the MOA through a letter of intent, resolution, or similar written statement, declaration, or pronouncement declaring their intent to sign on to the MOA if the threshold for Party participation in a specific Settlement is achieved.

C. Dispute resolution.

1. If any Party believes another Party has violated the terms of this MOA, the alleging Party may seek to enforce the terms of this MOA in Ramsey County District Court, provided the alleging Party first provides notice to the alleged offending Party of the alleged violation and a reasonable opportunity to cure the alleged violation.
2. If a Party believes another Party, Region, or individual involved in the receipt, distribution, or administration of Opioid Settlement Funds has violated any

⁴ For the avoidance of doubt, this includes settlements reached with AmerisourceBergen, Cardinal Health, McKesson, Janssen, Teva Pharmaceuticals, Allergan plc, CVS Health Corporation, Walgreens Boots Alliance, Inc., and Walmart Inc., and Bankruptcy Resolutions involving Purdue Pharma L.P., Mallinckrodt plc, and Endo International plc.

applicable ethics codes or rules, a complaint shall be lodged with the appropriate forum for handling such matters.

3. If a Party believes another Party, Region, or individual involved in the receipt, distribution, or administration of Opioid Settlement Funds violated any Minnesota criminal law, such conduct shall be reported to the appropriate criminal authorities.
- D. Amendments. The Parties agree to make such amendments as necessary to implement the intent of this MOA.
- E. Applicable law and venue. Unless otherwise required by the National Settlement Agreements or a Bankruptcy Resolution, this MOA, including any issues related to interpretation or enforcement, is governed by the laws of the State of Minnesota. Any action related to the provisions of this MOA must be adjudicated by the Ramsey County District Court. If any provision of this MOA is held invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction, this invalidity does not affect any other provision which can be given effect without the invalid provision.
- F. Relationship of this MOA to other agreements and resolutions. All Parties acknowledge and agree that the National Settlement Agreements will require a Participating Local Government to release all its claims as provided in the National Settlement Agreements to receive direct allocation of Opioid Settlement Funds. All Parties further acknowledge and agree that based on the terms of the National Settlement Agreements, a Participating Local Government may receive funds through this MOA only after complying with all requirements set forth in the National Settlement Agreements to release its claims. This MOA is not a promise from any Party that any National Settlement Agreements or Bankruptcy Resolution will be finalized or executed.
- G. When MOA is no longer in effect. This MOA is effective until one year after the last date on which any Opioid Settlement Funds are being spent by the Parties pursuant to the National Settlement Agreements and any Bankruptcy Resolution.
- H. No waiver for failure to exercise. The failure of a Party to exercise any rights under this MOA will not be deemed to be a waiver of any right or any future rights.
- I. No effect on authority of Parties. Nothing in this MOA should be construed to limit the power or authority of the State of Minnesota, the Attorney General, or the Local Governments, except as expressly set forth herein.
- J. Signing and execution. This MOA may be executed in counterparts, each of which constitutes an original, and all of which constitute one and the same agreement. This MOA may be executed by facsimile or electronic copy in any image format. Each Party represents that all procedures necessary to authorize such Party's execution of this MOA have been performed and that the person signing for such Party has been authorized to execute the MOA in an official capacity that binds the Party.

This **Amended Minnesota Opioids State-Subdivision Memorandum of Agreement** is signed

this 1 day of May, 2023 by:



Keith Ellison

Name and Title: Keith Ellison, Attorney General

On behalf of: State of Minnesota